

COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH . . .

Common Sense®**LEADER IN THE NATION'S FIGHT AGAINST COMMUNISM**

© Trade-mark registered 1948 United States Patent Office

**"The truth;
the whole truth,
and nothing
but the truth
... without fear
or favor"****Conde McGulley**
1899 • FOUNDER • 1968

Issue No. 538, May 1, 1969

Second Class Postage Paid at Union, N.J.

Subscription \$3.00 per year

REGISTRATION MEANS CONFISCATION**Gun Control Act Of 1968
Means Police State For USA****Analysis of Title I, P.L. 90-618,
"Gun Control Act of 1968"**(Reprinted from
Defenders of the American Constitution
P.O. Box 1776, Ormond Beach, Fla. 32074)**1. Background:**

A minority of people have persisted over the past half century in a drive to register, license and otherwise control firearms in the possession of the majority. The drive has been markedly successful in a number of foreign countries and is now bordering on success in the United States. For example:

a. Foreign Countries: (send stamped self-addressed envelope to "Defenders" for your copy of "Gun Control Legislation in Twenty-two Foreign Countries".)

b. In 1962 Congressman Anfuso of New York, the birthplace of the controversial Sullivan gun law, introduced a bill requiring private individuals to register their firearms with the FBI. The defeat of the bill was lamented by such liberal intellectuals as the noted columnist, Drew Pearson. Ever since then some congressman has sponsored and introduced a bill each year calling for some form of gun registration. Each year such proposals were voted down as regularly as they were introduced. However, with the recent assassinations of several internationally known American political and other leaders, a so-called "wave of hysteria," managed, if not manufactured, by the news media, echoed and re-echoed across the land. Patriotic organizations and individuals protested the threatened infringement of their constitutional rights too late to be fully effective. At the same time the President issued an impassioned appeal to the Congress calling upon them to provide him with an effective gun registration and licensing law.

c. On the 22nd of October, 1968, the Pres-

**When Guns Are Outlawed
Only Outlaws Will Have Guns**

ident signed H.R. 17735 (P.L. 90-618) into law under the short title, "Gun Control Act of 1968." The public press alleged that the President was disappointed in not getting all he had asked for from the Congress. However, whether he did or did not get all he wished for and whether he was or was not truly disappointed remains to be seen. At the time he signed the bill the President was quoted as saying, "Today we begin to disarm the criminal." "We must have licensing if guns are to be kept out of the hands of the criminal," and, "Registration is essential if the criminal with a gun is to be tracked down quickly." Evidently someone forgot to tell the President that nine months earlier the

Don't Let Them Take Your Guns Away!**Article Two, Bill of Rights, U.S. Constitution****"A well regulated militia being necessary to the security of a free state, the right of the people to keep and bear arms, shall not be infringed."**

United States Supreme Court had ruled, in *Haynes vs. U.S.*, that criminals need not register their guns because to do so would violate their rights against self-incrimination under the 5th Amendment.

d. On the 4th of November, 1968, President Elect Nixon stated that his position on gun control was to "have each of the states enact gun registration and licensing laws." This is precisely what President Johnson's Crime Commission recommended in the Fall of 1967 along with the proviso that the Congress should act if any state failed to comply within five years. This would mean, 1972. Hence the question is raised: — What has happened to cause the Congress to produce

END AMMUNITION RESTRICTIONS!

Senate support is building up for S-845, which would end the Treasury's illegal requirement that purchases of ammunition be registered. Request that your congressmen actively oppose illegal bureaucratic harassment of gun owners by supporting this legislation . . .

a so-called "mail order" bill containing all the elements for registering and licensing guns and gun owners, and driving gun dealers out of business, five years ahead of schedule, without first hearing fully from their now aroused constituents? Is it because the mem-

**Register Communists...
Not Loyal Americans**

bership and leadership of the "monstrous National Rifle Association" has been so effective as to force "progressive congressmen to bring about some form of workable (?) gun control law?" (quotes from D.C. News 1/13/69).

2. General Analysis:

a. P.L. 90-618 amends title 18, United States Code, "to provide for better control of the inter-state traffic in firearms." COMMENT: As will be shown later, it would have been more correct to have stated: — "for better control of inter-state AND intra-state traffic — ." The people were misled (Continued on Page 2, Column 1)

GUN CONTROL ACT OF 1968

(Continued from Page 1, Column 3)
into thinking this was only a "mail order" bill.

b. P.L. 90-618 consists of the following three titles: Title I, "State Firearms Control Assistance"; Title II, "Machine Guns, Destructive Devices, and Certain Other Firearms"; and, Title III, "Amendments To Title VII Of The Omnibus Crime Control And Safe Streets Act of 1968." COMMENT: Titles II and III are, by their nature, not considered germane to this analysis. Title I alone is solely concerned with hunting and sporting firearms including, by definition, hand-guns, antiques and all ammunitions that may be legally possessed by law-abiding citizens. Additionally, the title description makes it abundantly clear that this is another instance of the Federal government furnishing unasked for, and perhaps unwanted, "control assistance" to the "State" despite the fact that the police power under the Constitution of the United States (as also under the Common Law) resides in the States, and in the Sheriffs and Police Commissioners in particular, who possess the authority called the POSSE COMITATUS.

c. Sec. 101 of the law states that its purpose "is to provide support to Federal, State and local law enforcement officials in their fight against crime and violence, and it is not the purpose — to place any undue or unnecessary Federal restrictions or burdens on law-abiding citizens — or provide for the imposition by Federal regulations of any procedures or requirements other than those reasonably necessary — ." COMMENT:

"Never give up your firearms, boys, the time to have a gun is when it becomes illegal to own one." TOM ANDERSON.

Here we have what seems to be a bit of deliberate chicanery. "Federal support — against crime and violence" is the carrot, and "the imposition by Federal regulations — other than those reasonably necessary" is the stick. Let us first look at the nice, big, orange-yellow, carrot. Mandatory "Federal support" assumes, perhaps incorrectly, the inadequacy of state and local enforcement. However, being "something for nothing," as many erroneously think, how may the few argue? Especially so when tied to such an unarguable objective as controlling "crime and violence"? Now, before giving up, let us look at the "stick." The imposition of "Federal regulations — other than those reasonably necessary." Let us begin by asking a few pertinent questions, along with a few factual answers as we go along in an attempt to prove the people have been, and are being, misled and that the law is in fact no more than a piece of legislative trickery.

(1) What regulations are there and who is responsible for enforcement? ANSWER. Basically there are two documents that contain the regulations. They are, (a) The 6x9 inch, 13-page PL 90-618, Title I, passed by the Congress and signed by the President, that provides 20 definitions and specifies 4 pages of "unlawful acts", 2 pages of "licensing," 2 pages of "penalties" and "exceptions" and 5 pages of other related data, including on page 13, paragraph 926., "Rules and Regulations — The Secretary (of the Treasury) may prescribe such rules and regulations as he deems reasonably necessary, to carry out the provisions of this chapter, etc.," and, "The Secretary shall give reasonable public notice, and afford interested parties opportunity for hearing, prior to prescribing such rules and regulations." and, (b) The 8x11 inch, 19 pages of the 14 December, 1968, Federal Register prepared by the Secretary of the Treasury which contains 48 definitions, mostly in agreement with the earlier mentioned 20 definitions, and 87 numbered paragraphs on procedure such as, "178.166, Seizure and forfeiture," "178.25. Disclosure of Information," etc. The foregoing explanation of the two Federal documents outlines the rules and regulations that the Secretary of Treasury "deems reason-

Architects of Gun Confiscation Drive



SILLS



CELLER

N.J. ATTORNEY GENERAL ARTHUR SILLS, B'nai B'rith man of the year for 1967, architect of the infamous State Gun Control (confiscation) Law in the U.S. Sills could not wait to bar prayer and Bible reading from the schools in accordance with Supreme Court ban of 1963 and is an arch advocate in Zionist drive for "One Man, One Vote." EMANUEL CELLER, The 1968 Gun Control (confiscation) Law was introduced by Congressman Celler of N.Y. and Senator Dodd of Conn. Celler is a noted defender of Communist causes (45 c.f. citations). Blocked Public Prayer Bill, voted every year (save one) against continuing funds for the HCUA. Dodd is a Zionist stooge whose pet crusades are civil rights and gun control. Rabbi Maurice N. Eisendrath is also active in the drive to take your guns away. He is a board member of the Marxist-oriented National Council for a Responsible Firearms Policy and has connections with other Marxist groups.

ably necessary" in carrying his responsibility for enforcing the law. However, it would appear, on the surface at least, that the Secretary of the Treasury was, and still is somewhat remiss in his responsibility for giving, "reasonable public notice, and afford interested parties opportunity for hearing prior to prescribing such rules and regulations." Thousands of gun dealers and millions of gun owners, to say nothing of those with war trophies over the mantelpiece, have been in violation of the Gun Control Act of 1968 since the 15th December, 1968, because they have never considered the Federal Register to be a means of "reasonable public notice," have not received or obtained a copy of the law, and certainly have not received a copy of the 14th December, 1968, Federal Register simply because it was not available until after the 21st of January, 1969.

(2) Who is affected by the law and Treasury regulations, and how? ANSWER: Every law-abiding person who buys, sells, repairs or owns a gun and/or ammunition is involved to some degree. For example; Unlawful acts; Para. 922, (a), (3), It shall be unlawful for any person, other than a licensed dealer — to transport into or receive in the State where he resides — any firearm purchased or otherwise obtained by such person outside that State — ." Penalties; Para. 924, (a) Whoever violates any provision of this chapter— shall be fined not more than \$5,000, or imprisoned not more than five years, or both, —." Definitions: Para. 921, (a), (1) The term 'person' and the term 'whoever' include any individual, corporation, company, association, firm, partnership, society, or joint stock company." There are, of course, many, many more examples that could be cited, including examples where Form 4473, Firearms Transaction Record has to be filled out in the buying or selling of any firearm, and the filling out of an Application to Purchase Ammunition and/or Components which requires that you state how many cartridges or shells you wish to purchase, their caliber or gauge, the manufacturer, your name, your address and the date of your birth. This is one way of finding out what guns a law-abiding citizen possesses without forcing him to register them. Unfortunately, this also promotes a blizzard of paper work and record keeping that threatens to put the licensed gun dealers out of business. Without the gun dealer, the law-abiding gun owner becomes a part of history, except for a privileged few.

The real truth about firearms has been distorted to the point of being ridiculous. There is **no gun problem**, there is a **crime problem**. We need to fight crime by enforcing existing laws. Guns don't kill people, people kill people and people were killed before guns were invented.

(3) Will the regulations "provide better control of interstate traffic in firearms?" ANSWER: Undoubtedly yes. But only in-so far as today's law-abiding public is concerned. The criminal, for whom the law was supposedly designed, could scarcely care less. Should he plan for a major crime to take place in an adjacent state, and for which the penalty is more than five years imprisonment, if caught, he already knows that the judicial practice of "concurrent sentences" would make the penalty on the gun charge non-existent. As for the possibility of his being detected carrying a gun before he has the chance to commit the planned crime, the risk is small indeed, and is accepted by the criminal as a normal "calculated risk," or "occupational hazard." One needs only to read the 8 pages of Chapter IV, Search of Vehicles, published by the Department of Justice in their Handbook On The Law Of Search And Seizure, to appreciate the degree to which the hands of the local police have been tied, and the freedom with which the criminal may operate. Bending backward in favor of the criminal, especially the hardened, habitual criminal, will most surely open the way for the marketing of guns and ammunition across state lines. It will be called "Black Marketing" and again becomes a "business enterprise" for well-heeled, calculating, well-dressed criminals. One may well expect that a goodly portion of tomorrow's law-abiding citizens will do their part in frustrating the inter-state traffic controls, and all the other controls, built into the Gun Control Act of 1968, with the same vim and vigor of their law-abiding grandparents when they, in their time, circumvented the unpopular and since repealed Volstead Act of 1917.

(4) How do the regulations "provide support to Federal, State, and local law enforcement officials in their fight against crime and violence?" ANSWER: Article IV, Sec. 4, of the Constitution of the United States says that the Federal Government shall protect each of the States "against domestic violence" but only on "application of the legislature, or of the (State) executive when the legislature cannot be convened." It is important, very important, that all Americans clearly understand that the Federal Government is explicitly forbidden by the Constitution of the United States from furnishing support to State and local law enforcement officials without first being asked to do so by the State Legislature or the Governor. It therefore appears that the Congress has used very loose and paternalistic language in Sec. 301 by declaring that the purpose of the fed-

The hottest commodity on the market may soon become stolen . . . registered guns.

eral law is to provide support "to State and local law enforcing officials." (See para. 2.b pg. 2). Additionally it may be said that the Congress has deliberately sought to encourage "State and local political subdivisions," meaning the law enforcement agencies of the State and counties, to become dependent upon the Federal Government by requesting the United States Secretary of the Treasury for information "with respect to the identification of persons within such State or political subdivision thereof, who have purchased or received firearms or ammunition, together with a description of such firearms or ammunition." (See para. 923. (g) PL 90-618, or, para. 178.25, pg. 18559, Federal Register, Vol. 33, No. 243, 14 Dec. '68). It must be noted that the foregoing encouragement of Federal-local police co-operation has nothing whatever to do with the long standing mutual cooperation of all police agencies in criminal apprehensions. Instead, it is an encouragement for mutual co-operation in the harassment, if not an outright invasion of the privacy, of the law-abiding citizens of the Nation. After all, whose business is it — how many pistols, rifles or shotguns a man (or woman) has, or how much ammunition he, or she, uses each year for each firearm, or, how much of what kind of ammunition, he,

(Continued on Page 4, Column 3)

Conspiracy At Bay

By FRED FARRELL

What is the difference between a conspiracy and a legitimate government?

Legitimate government proclaims itself by its symbols. The palace of the Kings of Prussia had the Hohenzollern Eagles over the gate. The Fleur de Lis proclaimed the fact that the Bourbons were responsible for whatever glory or shame came to the Kingdom of France. Authority made no effort to conceal itself. It arrogantly claimed power and eagerly assumed responsibility. In the early days of the American Republic, our flag, our National Seal, were symbols of a form of public authority understood by everyone. Whatever glories or follies were committed, we at least knew who was responsible.

Today POWER proclaims its loss of legitimacy by its efforts to conceal itself. The chief characteristic of a CONSPIRACY is SECRECY. The concept of "UNIWorld" which lies behind the Conspiracy is based upon the idea of rule by secret "Supermen" who conceal themselves behind a vast smoke screen of political sophistry. It is clearly not intended that we should even know the names of the men who rule through the puppets displayed to the public eye. Nevertheless, sophisticates around the world know the real nature of the "Uniworld" Conspiracy. The world is to be ruled by secret Supermen at the top. These rulers are to be completely concealed from the public eye. Just beneath them, there is to be a layer of puppets and expert administrators, who will be seen by the public as the real rulers, which they are not. This system is to be bound together by prostitute intellectuals, who expertly create the illusions necessary to bemuse the people and obtain their acceptance of the system. The "UNIWorld" Conspiracy is not a Communist conspiracy. Communism is only one of its toys; anti-Communism is another. It is essential to the "UNIWorld" conspiracy that it control BOTH SIDES of the "Left-Right Game." Today in the United States, it does indeed control both the Right and the Left. There is no genuine political controversy on any really worthwhile scale in this country today. Political controversy is merely a smoke screen designed to conceal the secret supermen.

Are they really supermen? Whittaker Chambers studied them for many years, and finally came to the conclusion that they are merely a pack of silly old men, who someday will be wiped out and will never know what hit them. Chambers stated this view in a capable manner in his book COLD FRIDAY. The fact that a conspiracy exists does not necessarily mean that the conspiracy is successful. Indeed, Government by Conspiracy is always bound to fail. The really successful thing in history is LEGITIMATE GOVERNMENT; that is to say, government which proclaims itself openly and demands both authority and responsibility. Government by Conspiracy merely means that a destructive state of affairs exists in the world, that there is no real stability. Men who believe that Government by Conspiracy can be successful suffer from impaired consciousness. The sounder scholarship of yesteryear knew perfectly well that a government which is not legitimate is always doomed. It is bound to fall. The great basic realities which condemn it are monolithic and inescapable. Government, indeed civilization itself, is fundamentally an adventure in human consciousness. Legitimate government is the product of a great flowering of human consciousness. Government by Conspiracy is merely a symptom of the impairment of human consciousness. COMMON SENSE never ceases to be shocked by the number of anti-Semites who believe that a "Jewish Conspiracy" might someday rule the world; we are shocked by the number of anti-Communists who believe that a Communist conspiracy might ultimately rule the world. It is time to point out that this is contrary to basic reality. Legitimacy is the pearl of great price. Without it, there can be no stability or order in the world. It is impossible to counterfeit legitimacy successfully, although this is what

Legitimate Government Versus Invisible Government

Elected Government

Unofficial President and Appointed Rulers



Nixon

Agnew

Weinberg

Kissinger

Burns

RICHARD M. NIXON and SPIRO T. AGNEW, elected President and Vice-President of the U.S.A., who must obey every order of the Invisible Government. SIDNEY WEINBERG, successor to Baruch, presently head of the Invisible Government, director of 31 large corporations, Director of the Council on Foreign Relations and Business Advisory Council. HENRY A. KISSINGER, alien Zionist, succeeds Rostow as top Presidential Assistant for National Security Affairs, affiliate of the Bilderbergers, CFR and Pugwash Conferences, once Foreign Policy Advisor for Gov. Rocke-

99 per cent of the world political ideologists are trying to do today.

Demand Real Religion

Legitimacy, and the consciousness of it, can be derived only from religion, and from no other source. For the last hundred years, the West has had churches rather than religion. Our churches are primarily mass media. We may go shopping among them and find a form of humbug suitable to our choler. It is not likely that we will learn anything about religion from them; mostly, they are not really concerned with religion. They have become our tribal witch doctors; we ourselves have demanded this, and should not altogether blame the reverend clergy who have only done what we wanted them to do. The decline of religion in our civilization reflects the decline of human consciousness. We have sunk to the level where we will believe every quack, fraud, charlatan, or swindler who comes along; but, collectively, we will have no truck with real truth. We demand witch doctors, and will have nothing to do with real priests. We have gotten what we demanded, and no doubt collectively deserve it.

Real Religion provides a point of orientation toward Basic Reality.

What is a "point of orientation?" If you have ever navigated a ship across the ocean, you will know. The navigator on the bridge of a ship raises his sextant to his eye and fixes it upon a star millions of miles away. Through the eyepiece of the sextant, the star looms tiny. It is merely a small spot of light; but this is the illusion of distance. The star is not really small. Out in space, it is a gigantic ball of fire, millions of miles in diameter. It shoots its tongues of hot atomic fire hundreds of thousands of miles out into space. The reality of God is not small either; it merely looks small because it is at a great distance from the race of men. The reality stated in the first verse of the St. John Gospel is the basic reality which rules the universe. Without an accommodation with this reality, there has never been any successful civilization, any successful government, any human accomplishment rising above the primordial slime. God is not an "Old Man in the Sky." God is reality, and reality is God. Today the secular intelligentsia, not to mention most of the reverend clergy, are hard at work trying to evade this reality; and this is precisely why they are also at work trying to evade every other kind of reality, and why their political philosophies are worthless. Today they can do nothing except provide us with worthless and trivial entertainment while we await our doom. In simple Biblical language it is said, "All men are vain in whom there is not the knowledge of God."

We are profoundly disenchanted with the so-called "Right Wing." Why? Are these "great patriots" and "great anti-Communists" not going to save us from Communism? The answer is, "NO!" They are not going to save us from Communism, or anything else. They are not likely to be able to save even their own hides. The "Right

Wing" and the "Left Wing" are merely splinters of Nineteenth Century Liberalism. It is not necessary to defend the Nineteenth Century or attack it. It was a century of great achievement. It laced the world with railroads, steamship lines, and telegraph cables. The "Jules Verne Longing" sent man to the ends of the earth to explore and conquer. The main thing about the Nineteenth Century is that IT IS OVER. There is no use trying to keep it going forever. Time marches on, as the "Lucepapers" say. The works of man are fleeting and transitory. It is a characteristic vice of all ruling classes that they dream of trying to keep a good thing going forever. This is beyond the limits of possibility; therefore, it cannot be done. Today, the old-fashioned Liberal-Bourgeois calls himself a "Conservative." He proclaims that he is going to "save us from Communism." He gallops around our neo-Socialist, managerial society howling about "Free Private Enterprise," fifty years after free private enterprise uttered its last death gasp. Any resemblance between these procedures and a really workable political principle is strictly coincidental. The "American Conservative" is not going to save either us or himself from anything. He is merely amusing himself. Indeed, most "Conservatives" today are elderly retired people. The young business executive is not Conservative. He is Keynesian in his economics, neo-Marxist in his politics, Freudian in his personal life. In other words, he's a burned-out case; he is an "end of the line" type, coasting on a reputation made by better men who came before him, men now mostly in their graves.

What is really happening in the world today?

What is happening is the collapse of the civilian sector around the world. Today the civilian sector offers no hope, no prospects, no enlightenment, no noble aspirations. It is ignoble and corrupt. In a case like this, only one thing can happen: **The emergence of the military sector as the dominant factor in world affairs.** This is why the Twentieth Century is a century of **WAR!** There is a great deal of talk about peace, but there is no real peace.

What is really happening in the world today?

What is happening is the collapse of the civilian sector around the world. Today the civilian sector offers no hope, no prospects, no enlightenment, no noble aspirations. It is ignoble and corrupt. In a case like this, only one thing can happen: **The emergence of the military sector as the dominant factor in world affairs.** This is why the Twentieth Century is a century of **WAR!** There is a great deal of talk about peace, but there is no real peace.

Can't Cope with Problems

The civilian sector cannot cope with the problems of the world. Its religions have degenerated into empty humbug. Its economic theories are the absurd expressions of undisciplined desires, and are not well related to reality. Its intellectuals are eager prostitutes who sell themselves like street-corner whores to secretive and corrupt interests. The civilian sector will fall because it is no longer legitimate. There are many detailed reasons which could be discussed, but why bother? A power-regime which is not legitimate will always fall, without exception and without fail. Today political mythologies are all oriented to the project of trying to find ways to keep illegitimate regimes in power. They are therefore indefensible. In our time, we shall see the downfall of the civilian sector around the world, and the emergence

(Continued on Page 4, Column 1)

Conspiracy At Bay

(Continued from Page 3, Column 3)

of military power as the only real power. The bankruptcy of the civilian sector is complete. It is no longer reasonable to base any real hope upon it. The world is quite certainly headed toward a military decision of its problems. To the old-fashioned Liberal, this is "unthinkable." That is to say, it is not in line with his own undisciplined desires. But the power structure of Liberalism has lost its legitimacy, and, having lost the Pearl of Great Price, quite certainly will take its departure from history.

Anti-Semites are always telling us that "The Jews control the currency" or that "The Jews control the press" and therefore the world. Recently in Brooklyn, I witnessed an interesting experiment in "currency control." A man with \$3,000.00 in hundred dollar bills in his pocket was walking along a street; another man with no money but with a Colt .38 pistol was walking along the same street. The two men met. The man with the pistol poked it into the ribs of the man with the \$3,000.00. He took the money and made a clean getaway. **Who controls the currency now?**

In the Nineteenth Century, the money men controlled the military men. In this century, **NAKED FORCE and VIOLENCE** are replacing money and intellect as power. In this century, the man with the gun, or the man with the 100-megaton bomb, will "control the currency." Money is still nice to have; but money is no longer real power. It is merely potential loot. It is not "Marxism" or "Communism" which makes this so; it is merely the way things are, and the way things have been for six thousand years, and will be for six thousand more years. The rich man who thinks that a "Right Wing" philosophy will protect him against the predators of the world is simply being trivial in his thinking. The philosophies which protected rich men so well in the good old Nineteenth Century will not protect them today. In the Nineteenth Century, the Bourgeois-Liberal ruling class conceived its prime problem as a problem of protecting itself against the dispossessed, especially the industrial working class.

"Marxism" was devised primarily as a method of "channelization." It was deemed likely that the working class would be rebellious and troublesome in any case; therefore, it was better to have a "controlled" revolution. The Marxist parties were always securely under the control of the great capitalists. They wanted the working man to be a "Red" and spent millions on propaganda to make him "Red." Once he had been lured into one of their "Marxist" Labor parties, they controlled him secretly and absolutely. **Here we see the departure of legitimacy.** Government is now to be by fraud and deception. The Right Wing secretly creates and controls Marxism; openly, it raves and rants against "Godless, atheistic Communism." When we have sunk to this level, the modern age is over. Oswald Spengler pointed out that proletarian movements always do the work of the big money. These guilty and secretive realities make current Left-Right controversy worthless. The people who carry it on are mere clowns. They're not a very amusing kind of clown. If we must have mere clowns instead of great leaders, we ought at least to get a more amusing kind of clown.

Behind all of this silly clowning, a sinister reality emerges. In the U.S.A., we have always had a strong tradition of civilian control of the military. Once this was deemed a good thing. Today it merely means that our military power, that is to say our hope of national survival, is in the hands of idiot children and clowns; it is in the hands of the bankrupt, degenerate civilian sector.

In Russia, on the other hand, military power is no longer subject to civilian control. The Soviet Defense Minister, Marshal Grechko, was chosen by the armed forces. When the armed forces can choose their own defense minister, they are no longer under civilian control. They have the same kind of independence which the German general staff once enjoyed. This has nothing to do with Marxism. Indeed, the Soviet marshals are not Marxists. They are **MILITARY** men

DON'T JUST SIT THERE

--- DO SOMETHING! ---

Those who have lived with the conspiracy that threatens to engulf us, have long learned that it is only common sense to take our alleged government to mean the exact opposite of what it says. If the government **REALLY** wanted to control and outlaw guns, it would naturally want to control and outlaw criminals, the only ones who ever use them illegally and unjustly. Since traitors, criminals and stooges are running our government, to outlaw criminals, they would have to outlaw themselves.

Our alleged government has aided, protected and fostered crime and criminals in almost every conceivable way. It intends to continue doing this. Our government is **YOUR ENEMY**. Their Gun Control (confiscation) Bill can have no other ultimate purpose than to protect criminals and enslave the law abiding citizen. The 1968 Gun Control Act must be repealed! The life you save may well be your own. This legislation is the farthest we have yet gone toward total enslavement.

The Second Amendment of the Constitution **GUARANTEES** the right to keep and bear arms. When this right is abrogated, every American must be ready to fight to the death for God and country!

all the way. When they have destroyed the United States and conquered the world, they will not fail to find ways to enrich themselves. Human cupidity is not bound by any such trash as Marxist ideology. Men in power have never yet failed to find ways to secure riches.

In dealing with Russia, we are not dealing merely with "Communism." We are dealing with military strategy and megatons. We are fed up with "anti-Communists" who run around the country yipping and yapping like tortured dogs. What we want to know is, "How do their ideas translate into practical action"? When we ask this question, they go into hiding and do not answer. They hope to "Save the world from Communism" by some sort of Haitian voodoo, by sticking pins into a doll. They have no real plan of action. They are like the rest of the corrupt and rotten civilian sector of our time.

The world situation today is fundamentally a military situation. As for the worthless quack ideologies which agitate the civilian sector, it is a waste of time to fool around with them. When we contemplate the prostitute intellectuals of the civilian sector, we see the hopelessness of them. With bona fide humans, we might argue, but why argue with idiots? Would you carry on controversy with idiot children in a home for the retarded? You might be kind to them; indeed you should be; but take their ideas seriously? If you do, perhaps you should be incarcerated along with them. Just so, the really intelligent man does not take the ideas of the civilian sector seriously. He resents any demand that he give them even his time or attention. Some of us are willing to be kind to them, as we would be in dealing with idiot children; but take them seriously? It is outrageous to demand such a thing of us. Let us now hear no more about them.

GUN CONTROL ACT OF 1968

(Continued from Page 2, Column 3)

or she, may hand load for themselves and their friends? (See also para. 923. (j) *ibid.*)

3. Conclusions:

a. The harassment of a slow to rouse, easy going majority, by a clever dedicated and persistent minority, has been best stated in the following excerpt from a great editorial in the Butler County American, a Negro owned newspaper in Hamilton, Ohio:

"We are appalled that our leaders of today are taking the freedoms from the many upon the flimsy excuse that what is done by a few warrants the strict control of all." (see para. 1, *supra*)

b. What was supposed to have been only an "interstate mail-order bill" has turned

Arms Control Is Surrender!

out to be also an intra-state law and usurpation of local powers by a system of Federal procedures, compounded by a quite possible violation of the 2nd Amendment to the Constitution of the United States. (See para. 1b, 1c, 1d, 2a, 2b, 2c, 2c(4), *supra*)

c Every law-abiding gun owner will sooner or later have to read through the 13 pages of Title I, P.L. 90-618, and the 19 supporting pages of fine print in the Federal Register of 14 December, 1968, to find out whether they are acting within the law. Many, confronted with the complexity of the task, will choose to "get rid of their firearms" as has been done by the subjects of foreign countries faced in the past with the same identical problem. (See para. 1a, 2c(1), 2c(2), *supra*)

d. The law does not, and cannot, achieve its stated purpose of supporting "the fight against crime and violence" because, if allowed to stand, the law-abiding alone will be either disarmed or will themselves become "scoff-laws" and join in the criminal and lucrative activity of "black marketing." (see para. 2c(3) *supra*)

e. Title I, Public Law 90-618, the "Gun Control Act of 1968" **MUST BE REPEALED**. The sooner the better, lest we find ourselves either a nation of citizens in armed revolt, or, a nation of subjects, supine, fawning and grateful for little favors.

FIRST REGISTRATION... THEN CONFISCATION...

MORE GUNS --- LESS CRIME

The strictest gun law in the world is in England, but since 1961, she has had the greatest per capita of homicides, mostly with knives and clubs; but in Switzerland, which has the lowest crime rate, all male citizens receive a gun at the age of nine and for their entire lifetime they must keep their guns in their homes ready for action.

Read, Be Informed

THE USURPERS by Medford Evans
Devastating indictment of highly placed Socialists and centralized government advocates now on government payroll -----\$1.00

PAWNS IN THE GAME by Guy Carr
Satan directs the international conspiracy in the capitalist and communist joint drive to liquidate Christianity. -----\$2.00

THE INTERNATIONAL JEW
By Henry Ford, Sr.
The world's foremost problem.
Paper Cover -----\$2.00
Hard Cover -----\$3.00

Common Sense.

UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

	U. S.	U. S.
	CANADA	CANADA
	1ST CLASS	2ND CLASS
1 year -----	\$5.00	\$3.00
2 years -----	8.00	5.00
3 years -----	10.00	7.00
Lifetime -----	—	50.00
Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year		
BULK COPIES — SAME or ASSORTED		
20 copies -----		\$1.00
100 copies -----		4.00
500 copies -----		15.00
1000 copies -----		20.00

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by

CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN.
530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083